IN RE YAZ, YASMIN, AND OCELLA PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION BERGEN COUNTY Case No. 287 **ORDER ON DISCOVERY MOTIONS PURSUANT TO DEPOSITION PROTOCOL**

THIS MATTER having come before the Court on Plaintiffs' motion to strike the testimony of Bayer employees regarding their or their families' personal use of Yaz, Yasmin, or Ocella, or in the alternative to permit discovery; and Plaintiff's motion to compel the disclosure of documents reviewed by Bayer witnesses prior to giving testimony; [similar motions have been filed in the Multi-District Litigation and state courts]; for good cause shown;

For the reasons set forth in the record;

IT IS on this 30th day of June 2011;

ORDERED,

1. Plaintiffs' motion to strike the testimony of Bayer employees regarding their or their families' personal use of Yaz, Yasmin, or Ocella is GRANTED;

2. Plaintiffs' motion to compel the disclosure of documents reviewed by Bayer witnesses prior to giving testimony is GRANTED [the Court will incorporate by reference the disclosure process set by Judge Herndon in his June 29, 2011 order];

3. Plaintiffs' demand that Bayer identify the documents selected for review by defense counsel is DENIED;



BRIAN R. MARTINOTTI, J.S.C.

DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP
A Delaware Limited Liability Partnership
500 Campus Drive
Florham Park, New Jersey 07932-1047
(973) 549-7000
Attorneys for Defendants
DEPUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC. and
JOHNSON & JOHNSON

FILED
JUL 18 2011
BRIAN R. MARTINOTTI, J.S.C.

WILLIAM GRIFFITHS, Plaintiff, v. DEPUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC. and JOHNSON & JOHNSON Defendants,	SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION: BERGEN COUNTY DOCKET NUMBER: ~~ATL-L-7666-10CM~~ CIVIL ACTION L-5877-11 IN RE DEPUY ASR™ HIP IMPLANTS LITIGATION CASE. NO. 293 **ORDER ADMITTING ROBERT C. TUCKER, ESQ.** ***PRO HAC VICE***

THIS MATTER having been opened to the Court by Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP, attorneys for defendants, DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson, to permit Robert C. Tucker, Esq., an attorney admitted to the practice of law in the state of Ohio, to participate with other counsel for defendants in all phases of the trial, and it appearing that Robert C. Tucker, Esq. is a licensed attorney in good standing in the state of Ohio, and it appearing that Plaintiff's Complaint raises products liability claims, and it further appearing that the law practice of Robert

C. Tucker, Esq. focuses on the trial and appeal of products liability cases, and it further appearing that defendants have requested that Robert C. Tucker, Esq. represent them in this matter, and the Court having considered the respective Certifications of Susan M. Sharko, Esq. and Robert C. Tucker, Esq. submitted in support of the Motion, and the parties having assented hereto, and for good cause having been shown:

IT IS on this 18th **day of** July**, 2011,**

ORDERED:

That Robert C. Tucker shall and hereby is admitted *pro hac vice* and is authorized to appear and participate with other counsel for defendants in all phases of the trial, subject to the following conditions:

1. Robert C. Tucker, Esq. shall abide by the New Jersey Court Rules including all disciplinary rules, R. 1:20-1 and R. 1:28-2.

2. Robert C. Tucker, Esq., shall, and hereby does, consent to the appointment of the Clerk of the Supreme Court as his agent upon whom service of process may be made for all actions against him or Tucker Ellis & West LLP that may arise out of his participation in this matter.

3. Robert C. Tucker, Esq. shall immediately notify the Court of any matter affecting his standing at the Bar of any other jurisdiction.

4. Robert C. Tucker, Esq. shall have all pleadings, briefs and other papers filed with the court signed by an attorney of record authorized to practice in New Jersey, who shall be held responsible for them, the conduct of the litigation and the attorney admitted herein.

5. Robert C. Tucker, Esq. cannot be designated as trial counsel and must be accompanied by a member of the New Jersey Bar at all proceedings, unless specifically waived by the Court.

6. No discovery, motion, trial or any other proceeding delay shall occur or be requested by reason of the inability of Robert C. Tucker, Esq. to be in attendance.

7. Robert C. Tucker, Esq. must, within ten (10) days, pay the fees required by R. 1:20-1(b) and R. 1:28-2 and submit an affidavit of compliance.

8. The *pro hac vice* admission of Robert C. Tucker, Esq. will automatically terminate for failure to make the initial and any annual payment required by R. 1:20-1(b) and R. 1:28-2.

9. Non-compliance with any of the terms of this Order shall constitute grounds for removal.

10. A true copy of this Order shall be served upon all counsel of record within seven (7) days of the date hereof.

Brian R. Martinotti, J.S.C.

This Motion was:

_____ Opposed

_____ Unopposed ✓